

Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries



NATIONAL ACADEMY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, NEW DELHI
June 2026

Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries



NATIONAL ACADEMY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, NEW DELHI

June 2026

- CONVENERS** : Dr. B.K. Das, Director, ICAR-Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore, Kolkata
: Dr. K.K. Krishnani, Joint Director, ICAR-Indian Institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Ranchi
- REVIEWERS** : Dr. B.B. Jana, Vice-Chairman, International Centre for Ecological Engineering, University of Kalyani, Kalyani
: Dr. Joseph Selvin, Professor and Head, Pondicherry University (Central University), Puducherry
- EDITORS** : Dr. R.K. Jain
: Dr. R.K. Pal
- CITATION** : NAAS 2026. Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries. Policy Paper No. 148, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, New Delhi: 12 pp.

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL 2026

President:

Dr. M.L. Jat

Immediate Past President:

Dr. Himanshu Pathak

Vice Presidents:

Dr. P.K. Joshi

Dr. Baldev Singh Dhillon

Secretaries:

Dr. Ashok K. Singh

Dr. B.S. Dwivedi

Foreign Secretary:

Dr. Karimbhai M. Maredia

Editors:

Dr. R.K. Jain

Dr. R.K. Pal

Treasurer:

Dr. Rajendra Parsad

Members:

Prof. Bishwanath Chakraborty

Dr. A. Gopalakrishnan

Dr. Dilip Jain

Dr. (Ms.) P.D. Kamala Jayanthi

Dr. (Ms.) K. Madhavi Reddy

Dr. P.S. Minhas

Dr. Suman K. Pandey

Dr. (Ms.) Minakshi Prasad

Dr. D.L.N. Rao

Dr. Rajarshi Roy Burman

Dr. O.P. Yadav

Dr. D.K. Yadava

Dr. Ch. Srinivasa Rao

(ICAR Nominee)

Published by Executive Director on behalf of
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES
NASC, Dev Prakash Shastry Marg, New Delhi - 110 012, India
Tel: (011) 25846051
Email: naas-mail@naas.org.in; Website: www.naas.org.in

Preface

The global demand for protein-rich food, particularly seafood, has been rising steadily and this trend continues to fuel the expansion of the aquaculture sector. As population grows and dietary preferences shift towards healthier protein options, aquaculture will be increasingly viewed as a crucial contributor to global food security. In regions facing water scarcity, one promising approach to sustain aquaculture productivity is wastewater reuse. This practice supports nutrient recycling, enhances production efficiency and helps to meet the escalating demand for aquatic food resources. However, despite its potential, wastewater reuse poses a significant challenge concerning the presence of contaminants of emerging concern (CECs).

CECs including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, industrial chemicals, and agricultural residues are now frequently detected in aquaculture environments - even at very low concentrations. Over the past several years, the proliferation of CECs in water bodies, sediments, and biological systems has attracted intense scientific and policy interest. Their entry into aquatic ecosystems through domestic wastewater, industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, and other pathways has led to concentrations capable of disrupting aquatic life and compromising environmental quality. In India, studies have confirmed the presence of CECs in surface waters and their accumulation in various aquatic species. Despite the growing evidence, efforts aimed at comprehensive monitoring, effective regulation, and advanced treatment remain inadequate. Furthermore, wastewater reuse is not limited to aquaculture; it is increasingly employed in agriculture for irrigation, raising additional concerns about the transfer of contaminants through the food chain.

Recognising the need, the Academy convened a brainstorming session on “*Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries*”. The resulting policy paper underscores the seriousness of CECs related threats to human health, aquatic ecosystems, and long-term sectoral sustainability. It articulates key issues, examines current knowledge gaps, and presents strategic recommendations to guide future management and policy formulation. By offering a holistic framework for addressing CECs, the document seeks to support the responsible growth, resilience, and environmental sustainability of aquaculture and fisheries in the country. I appreciate the efforts of Conveners, Reviewers and Editors for bringing the document in the present form.

June 2026
New Delhi



(M.L. Jat)
President, NAAS

Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries

1. INTRODUCTION

Emerging contaminants in aquaculture and fisheries have become a growing environmental and public health concern due to their persistence, bioaccumulation, and potential ecotoxicological effects. Aquatic ecosystems and cultured species are increasingly being found to have these contaminants. The viability of global fish production systems, food safety, and the integrity of aquatic ecosystems are all at risk due to constant anthropogenic input. Rapid population growth and urbanisation, and excessive water consumption are critical factors for the depletion of freshwater resources, and climate change exacerbates these issues (Abisha *et al.* 2022). Nearly 70% of the irrigation water comes from surface water sources (rivers, canals, lakes) and the demand is expected to increase further by 2050. Reusing treated wastewater (TWW) is an excellent approach to addressing the water shortage issues and is attracting global attention. The United Nations (2016) has promoted the implementation of wastewater reuse globally to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030. Much of the world's wastewater, around 88 million m³ per day including 15 million m³ of untreated wastewater, is utilised daily for irrigation worldwide.

Reusing wastewater for irrigation and aquaculture (Jana *et al.*, 2018; Lakra and Krishnani, 2022) becomes inevitable due to freshwater scarcity and groundwater depletion. However, the suitability of using treated wastewater for irrigation and aquaculture has become a bone of contention among government authorities and policymakers, due to the occurrence of newly identified synthetic or naturally occurring chemicals or biological agents coined as CEC (contaminants of emerging concern). The CECs are pseudo-persistent in nature, and detected in wastewater which are potentially hazardous to humans and ecosystems. CECs are categorised into various groups based on their occurrences, impact on safety, health and environment, and bioremediation potential. They include PPCPs (pharmaceuticals and personal care products), forever chemicals or PFASs (per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances), emerging pathogens, synthetic hormones, veterinary drugs, off-flavor causing chemicals (geosmin and methylisoborneol), PBTs (persistent bioaccumulative toxicants), recently introduced new molecules of pesticides, industrial chemicals (e.g. plasticizers, surfactants, disinfection by-products, flame retardants), micro/nano plastics, antibiotics, ARGs (antibiotic resistance genes), cyanotoxins, geogenic chemicals etc. (Krishnani *et al.*, 2008). However, the risks associated with these contaminants are not yet well studied in terms of their impacts on crops, animals, fish, microbes, humans, and natural ecosystems. Biotoxins are produced by bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals. These biotoxins released into water may cause illness and even death of fish due to ingestion. Emerging contaminants in fisheries and aquaculture and their potential impacts on aquatic biology and human health are illustrated in Figure 1.

Aquatic ecosystems are more susceptible to various pollutants, including CECs, compared to terrestrial farming. This is due to the universal solvent properties of water,



Figure 1. Emerging contaminants in fisheries and aquaculture and their potential impacts on aquatic biology and human health

which serves as a reservoir for all pollutants, including CECs, originating from diverse sources. The already elevated temperatures in tropical regions, further aggravated by global warming, amplify these risks by enhancing the metabolism and possible transformation of these substances, while also fostering conditions that promote bacterial growth and the spread of antibiotic resistance genes.

Several studies in India have ascertained the presence of CECs in surface waters and their accumulation in aquatic biota, yet monitoring and treatment efforts remain inadequate. Most treatment systems are assessed with conventional pollutants only. Reports on the occurrence of CECs in aquaculture and fisheries are scanty. Realising the importance of this, the National Academy of Agricultural Sciences (NAAS) organised a brainstorming session (BSS) on “*Managing Emerging Environmental Contaminants in Aquaculture and Fisheries*”. This policy paper on CECs, that pose threats to human health and the sustainability of ecosystems, is the outcome of deliberations in the BSS. It presents the challenges and the way forward for a holistic management and policy options related to CECs in aquaculture and fisheries, identifies key challenges and provides strategic recommendations.

2. PRESENT STATUS OF THE CECs

2.1. Intensive Aquaculture and Culture-based Fisheries

India is the second-largest aquaculture producer, third in capture fisheries production, sixth in marine capture fisheries production, and the fourth-largest fish/fisheries products exporter. India is contributing around 8% to global fish production, with a record 18.4 MMT (million metric tons) produced in 2023-2024, as compared to 6.4 MMT in 2003-04, with a substantial focus on inland fisheries and aquaculture (13.9 MMT) followed by marine fisheries (4.5 MMT), registering an increase of 8.82 MMT in 10 years (2014-2024) as compared to an increase of 3.18 MMT during 2004-2014. The nation has an excellent potential for the development of culture-based fisheries in approximately 1.48 million hectares (Mh) of small reservoirs, 0.20 Mh of floodplain wetlands, and 40 thousand hectares of estuarine wetlands. Agriculture accounts for 6.72% of the Indian economy, while the fisheries sector contributes 1.1%. The National Fisheries Development Board (NFDB) is also working to improve production, livelihoods, and infrastructure, while a national development plan is in place to guide the sector towards sustainability, productivity, and competitiveness.

Approaches to the extensification and intensification of the aquaculture sector have become major areas of interest, owing to the high demand for aquaculture commodities and the insufficiency of capture fisheries (Abisha *et al.*, 2022). As such, CECs are not used in intensive aquaculture and culture-based fisheries, as evidenced from the fact that antibiotic task forces have been constituted by all the maritime states at the initiative of the Marine Products Export Development Authority (MPEDA). Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has banned the import, manufacture, distribution, and sale of Chloramphenicol and Nitrofurantoin and their formulations for use in any animal rearing systems in India. As such, there are no reports on the occurrences of CECs in farmed fish and shrimp harvested through extensive, semi-intensive and intensive aquaculture and culture-based fisheries in India. The EU conducts sampling for 50% of Indian aquaculture consignments whereas 100% sampling for *L. vannamei* in consignments is done by Japan. MPEDA conducts the National Residue Control Plan (NRCP) and Pre-Harvest Testing for residue monitoring of various contaminants. Pre-Export Test is undertaken by EIC. NRCP positives have reduced from 20% to 3% at the hatchery level and from 0.06% to 0.01% at the farm level during the past 5 years. Hydrogel-based semi-biofloc, aquaponics, nanostructured material, plant-assisted bioremediation, and medicinal plants-based systems have been demonstrated for environmental and health management in intensive aquaculture (Krishnani *et al.*, 2023; 2025). The gradual phasing out of conventional pond-based cultivation systems and the promotion of advanced production systems such as biofloc technology and multitrophic aquaculture have demonstrated potential for improved environmental control, reduced chemical inputs, and enhanced biosecurity.

2.2. Wastewater Reuse for Crop Irrigation and Aquaculture

Rising demand for seafood and protein-rich food sources is driving the growth of the aquaculture industry. Reusing wastewater for aquaculture offers opportunities for nutrient recycling and improving aquaculture production. However, the occurrence of CECs, even

when detected in low concentrations in aquaculture products, water, and sediments from chemical residues, adversely affects human health, the environment, and aquatic species, continuously posing rigorous challenges. CECs negatively affect aquaculture production and productivity by causing toxicological stress, reducing growth rates, damaging reproductive health, and increasing mortality in cultured species. In the last few years, CECs have become a topic of great interest because of their widespread distribution through multiple sources in water, sediment, and biota, at concentrations detrimental to aquatic organisms.

The use of treated wastewater may nourish crops, avert water contamination, and reduce the pressure on freshwater supplies. However, only 1.6-6.3% treated sewage water is used for irrigation worldwide. Untreated wastewater contaminates rivers and oceans and may damage the fisheries. A recent study released at the World Ocean Summit found that India's fishery sector faces an annual economic loss of approximately \$2.2 billion due to untreated wastewater contamination. India's low wastewater treatment rate of 21% may exacerbate the problem. In many countries, the application of wastewater by-products to land practice has been adopted; however, there is an urgent need to highlight and establish the global risks.

2.3. Wastewater Reuse and CECs Occurrences

Reusing treated wastewater for irrigation and fisheries has many advantages and drawbacks. The use of wastewater involves the modification of production processes and the utilisation of various inputs during production. The extensive use and improper disposal of CECs have led to their omnipresence in the natural environment, causing continuous contamination with potentially harmful chemicals from diverse sources (Krishnani *et al.*, 2023). Pesticides are present in the environment in mixtures; hence, their interaction may lead to antagonistic, additive, or synergistic behaviour. Globally, CECs have been found in major crops, aquaculture water, sediment, and culture species due to the reuse of wastewater for crops and aquaculture. Antifoulants, microplastics, PPCPs, PFAS, emerging pathogens, PBTs, geogenic chemicals, disinfectants, fertilizers, soil and water conditioners/additives, hormones, antifungal, antiparasitic and antimicrobials, including antibiotics, are the commonly detected CEC. Among the different types of CECs, PPCPs are the most important and diverse category of substances comprising pharmaceutical drugs, ingredients in cosmetics, food supplements, as well as their transformed metabolites. The ever-increasing use has led to the continuous loading of PPCPs, such as triclosan and triclocarban, as well as industrial compounds like phthalates, BPA, and surfactants, into aquatic systems through various sources, including wastewater treatment plants, runoff from fields, improper disposal, leaching from landfills, industrial effluents, and municipal wastewater discharge. Pesticides in binary mixtures have been observed to affect the fish even when they are treated with low dosages, considered extremely safe to the environment. These low dosages may not cause mortality of aquatic organisms, nor leave residues above MRL, but hamper the activity of fish, probably due to synergistic effects of mixtures.

2.4. Status of PFASs

The PFAS, or aptly referred to as “*forever chemicals*”, have been identified as major concern for environmental and human health due to their very high stability and persistence.

PFAS enter waterways during firefighting and training activities, industrial discharges, metal plating in the automobile industry, spills, and wastewater treatment. PFAS contamination of aquatic bodies and fish feed may lead to bioaccumulation and biomagnification in fish. Freshwater fish may have higher concentrations of PFAS compared to marine fish. PFAS contamination of the fisheries or associated aqueous streams is linked to health problems such as increased risk of cancer, liver disease, thyroid issues, and abnormalities related to reproduction and growth. There is widespread human exposure to PFAS in China, Japan, and Korea, where more than 80% of studies originated. Blood and breast milk in several Asian countries are contaminated with a range of PFAS, which is a cause of major concern. Different types of PFAS (PFASs, PFCAs, FOSA, PFBS, PFOA, PFOS) contamination in various aquatic matrices such as surface water, groundwater, sediments and biota across India have recently been reported (Gautam *et al.*, 2025). There are a few reports on occurrences of PFASs in Indian riverine waters and fish in nanogram levels; however, there are no occurrences of PFASs in farmed Fish and shrimp harvested from aquaculture and culture-based fisheries and aquatic products in India.

According to one estimate, more than 5,000 chemicals fall into the PFAS category, although all may not be toxic or detrimental to fish. PFAS compounds, specifically PFOS and PFOA, have been studied more extensively. Some priority PFASs are currently being regulated under “the Stockholm Treaty for persistent organic chemicals”. The USEPA lists nine PFAS chemicals for monitoring. Studies have detected PFAS in rivers and estuaries in different parts of India (Koulini *et al.*, 2024), including the Ganges, and predictive modelling shows PFAS levels will exceed safe limits in Indian states by 2054 (Gautam *et al.*, 2025).

2.5. Status of Microplastics (MPs)

MPs with a size range of < 5 mm and their impacts on natural ecosystems have garnered considerable attention lately, as they are one of the major emerging contaminants. Primary MPs are directly introduced into the environment through anthropogenic activities, while secondary MPs are formed from larger plastic particles via environmental breakdown. They can take many forms in nature, such as pieces, fibers, pellets, films, beads, and foams, etc., and are particularly common in both inland and marine/aquatic environments. Gaining a greater understanding of how plastic trash behaves in river systems is essential, given the well-established detrimental effects of plastic debris on ecological habitats and biodiversity. The diminishing size of plastic waste is a major problem for environmental health because tiny plastic bits can travel up the food chain and become bioaccumulated in various aquatic food web species when they ingest these particles.

Major sources of MPs include car tyres, paint-based markings, plastic resins and synthetic clothing, environmental inflow from water, wind, and sediments. Biomagnification occurs as lower-trophic organisms ingest particles, which then accumulate in the tissues of predators across the food chain. MPs are ubiquitous in nature and stay dispersed throughout the water column according to their density and form, which is further accessible by the aquatic biota of several trophic levels, because of their extremely small sizes and other morphometric characteristics. MPs in several major rivers around the world have been reported with over 2 million tons transported by rivers each year (Lebreton *et al.*, 2017),

with almost 80% of the plastic debris entering marine habitats from the land. Research has shown that while bottom-dwelling species like mollusks, echinoderms, amphipods, and polychaete worms are more likely to encounter denser MPs, smaller organisms like phytoplankton and zooplankton are more likely to absorb lighter, floating MPs. Because of the natural predator-prey dynamics in aquatic environments, larger animals like fish eventually consume MPs. Furthermore, MPs can absorb organic pollutants that are water-repellent, such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), besides flame retardants, additives, and plasticizers, integrated into their structure. PE, PP, and PET materials are the most often and extensively available plastic ingredients/pieces in river sediments worldwide. Research models show India's Ganges river system carries the second highest plastic waste at 0.12 million tons per year (Lebreton *et al.*, 2017). Researchers have consistently found these same types of plastics dominating the waterways throughout Asia and Southeast Asia (Sarkar *et al.*, 2019; 2021). Microplastic pollution is also extensively reported in the Ganges river which carries inland trash along its path, including waste from the paint and rayon industries as well as dairy and milk processing facilities and the fibers from washing clothes (Sarkar *et al.*, 2019). ICAR-CIFRI quantified the abundance of MPs ranging from 11.48 to 63.79 ng/g. The most prevalent types are polyethylene, polypropylene, and polyethylene terephthalate in the sediments of the lower stretch of the Ganges at different locations in Bihar and West Bengal (Sarkar *et al.*, 2019). Even major tributaries and distributaries of the river Ganges were found to be contaminated with MPs at the lower estuarine stretch (Das *et al.*, 2026). Levels of MPs in sediments and water of Indian rivers (Ganges, Mahanadi, Alaknanda, Netravathi, Hooghly, Cooum, Brahmaputra, Indus, Kaveri, Adyar, Kosasthalaiyar, Multhirappuzhayar, Kharkai, Godavari and Chalakudy) have been reported in the different levels (Kumar *et al.*, 2025).

MPs are reported in East Kolkata Wetland (EKW), a wastewater-fed aquaculture system (Sarkar *et al.*, 2021a), which is an ecologically significant aquatic body and Ramsar wetland. Different water treatment plants are also being assessed to screen the MPs removal efficiency. It was reported that the existing technologies in Indian water treatment plants are moderately efficient for removing MPs from water (Sarkar *et al.*, 2021b). The momentum of MPs investigation in the Indian inland aquatic system has just taken pace. However, a more extensive effort is required for proper monitoring of MPs in Indian river systems. Several institutions have just begun assessing MPs pollution in the inland freshwater system.

The harmful effects of plastic waste, particularly MPs, fibers, threads, beads, and films, have been shown to harm fish. In the aquatic environment, larger species, including fish, birds, and mammals, have also been seen to absorb a considerable quantity of MPs through prey-predation relationships. When minuscule plastic particles suspended in water are consumed by plankton and small invertebrates, the biomagnification of MPs starts. Because small fish eat these contaminated creatures, MPs can move across trophic levels through predation. The cumulative burden of MPs in the digestive tracts and tissues of larger predatory fish rises as they ingest more contaminated prey. Additionally, harmful compounds that have been absorbed by MPs may concentrate in higher trophic creatures, increasing the dangers to human health and the environment along the aquatic

food chain. A few instances of the harmful effects of MPs on the marine mussel were observed, which include oxidative stress and genotoxicity after being exposed to MPs.

There are limited reports on the occurrence of MPs in aquaculture facilities. However, deterioration of plastic-based equipment such as nets, ropes, cages, liners, and feed bags subjected to mechanical wear and sunlight is postulated as a major reason of MPs occurrence in aquaculture. Additionally, tainted raw materials or particles from packaging may introduce MPs into commercial aquafeeds. Microplastics and other CECs like pharmaceutical residues typically coexist in aquatic ecosystems, where plastic particles serve as carriers for adsorbed antibiotics and other medications. Their vast surface area and hydrophobic qualities aid in the concentration and transfer of pollutants across water bodies and food webs. This combined exposure results in localized “hotspots” of selection pressure that promote the spread of antimicrobial-resistant (AMR) bacteria. Furthermore, biofilms formed on microplastic surfaces, known as plastispheres, include varied microbial communities that enable horizontal transfer of resistance genes. As a result, the interaction of MPs and pharmaceuticals intensifies AMR reservoirs in the environment, endangering fisheries, aquaculture systems, and human health.

3. CHALLENGES

3.1. Recalcitrance, Persistence and Bioaccumulative Nature of CECs

Most of the CECs meet persistent, bioaccumulative, toxicants criteria that raise genuine concerns about food safety and long-term exposure (Jacob and Krishnani, 2024). Our ability to boost the yields and nutritional content of food to meet future demand may be impacted by the presence of CECs in crops and fish. The presence of CECs in humans can potentially cause reproductive and neurological disorders. They may also induce antimicrobial resistance in humans and other animals, cause chronic toxicity, and estrogenicity.

3.2. Challenges Associated with PFASs (Per- and poly-Fluoro Alkyl Substances)

PFAS is a very large family of more than 5,000 substances, which can find their way into aquatic ecosystems through runoff, where they persist and do not break down. They contaminate the environment, bioaccumulate in fish and wildlife, and some can remain in human bodies for years. Compounds encompassing a variety of chemical classes and belonging to CECs, and endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), which in many cases, pose a threat to fish and other aquatic organisms through oxygen depletion and can also interfere with the hormonal system, and adversely affect fish reproduction. The USEPA/FDA Centres for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC)/National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) reported presence of PFAS in the blood of 97% of the U.S. population (Tokranov *et al.*, 2024). PFASs have been reported in some of the Indian River waters, but not in the farmed fish and shrimp harvested from aquaculture and culture-based fisheries. A critical factor identified is regulatory gaps for PFAS usage and disposal within the Indian environment, inadequate monitoring, and technological barriers that hinder addressing PFAS contamination effectively.

3.3. Challenges Associated with MPs

MPs are reported to leach various harmful chemicals, including plasticizer, dyes, flame-retardants, etc., and act as a vector for several contaminants, viz., heavy metals, AMR genes, PAH, etc. Packaging (plastics, etc.) and storing (formalin, etc.) materials are also contributing to fish pollution. Heavy metals are potential hazards to aquatic, animal, and human life because of their toxicity and bioaccumulative and nonbiodegradable nature (Krishnani and Ayyappan, 2006). The fish's normal development and reproductive behaviour are reportedly affected by these leached compounds, which are well-known endocrine disruptors. Currently, there is no practical way to address the global microplastic contamination problem. Micro- and nano-plastics originating from the widespread use of plastics have been raised increasingly alarming concerns worldwide. However, a fundamental knowledge gap in nanoplastics remains due to the lack of effective analytical techniques. Multidimensional profiling/quantification suggests a litre of bottled water contains about 240,000 tiny pieces of micro-nano plastics, the majority (90%) of which are nanoplastics (Quian *et al.*, 2024). According to the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI), even though India's per capita plastic usage is modest (11 kg annually) compared to America's (109 kg annually), this figure is estimated to rise in the coming years, which may be concerning for aquatic creatures in the future. Strict implementation of the ban on single-use plastics is a challenging task.

3.4. Challenges Associated with Pathogens

The reuse of wastewater for aquaculture is being pursued as a means of increasing aquaculture production, which is known to affect the environment and the emergence of new diseases. Perhaps pathogens that have been present in the environment or in other aquatic organisms may transfer to aquaculture species through wastewater reuse. The outbreak of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) is an example. This virus has a wide host range and can be present in aquatic organisms, such as crabs, where it does not cause significant mortalities in the natural environment. This virus affected cultured shrimp, causing mass mortalities in 1992 in Taiwan and has spread all over the globe. Another example is AHPND (acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease) caused by *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* that has acquired a mobile genetic element - a plasmid carrying Photorhabdus Insect-Related (PIR) toxin genes. High-density farming would facilitate horizontal gene transfer and acquisition of plasmids carrying virulence genes or genes conferring resistance to antibiotics (Bright and Yadava, 2005). In China, there is an emergence of another disease, translucent larval disease (TLD) caused by hypervirulent *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* carrying virulence genes. *V. parahaemolyticus* is known as a common estuarine organism all over the world, but this common organism has become a serious pathogen due to the acquisition of mobile genetic elements.

3.5. Knowledge Gaps in Formulating Policy related to Managing CECs

Developing and implementing definite aquaculture wastewater treatment processes and systems for the different categories of culture operations require a holistic approach. The lack of clear guidelines poses a major challenge in preparing the necessary dossiers for regulating the approval of using wastewater contaminated with CECs in irrigation and aquaculture.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1. Regulatory Measures for Monitoring and Management of CECs in Wastewater

Wastewater used for irrigation and aquaculture needs to be regulated. Efficient effluent treatment processes based on fundamental principles, such as adsorption, biodegradation, photocatalysis, filtration, activated sludge, sedimentation, and constructed wetlands, shall be implemented by industries at locations specific to water bodies and aquaculture and culture-based fisheries. Recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) using biofloc technology should be used in traditional pond-based aquaculture. The algal and heterotrophic microbial biomass generated in biofloc systems can also serve as renewable feedstock for biofuels, bioplastics, and animal feed supplements, thus promoting a circular economy through waste reduction and nutrient recycling, ultimately enhancing the sustainability of aquaculture operations.

Mass awareness on the improved understanding of the risks and cumulative impacts of different forms of CECs on the health of inland and marine organisms, ecosystems, human health and other living beings and a harmonised integrated monitoring are required to identify and assess CECs. Efficient state-of-the-art industrial wastewater treatment process; proper disposal mechanisms for waste, unused and expired products using strict regulatory measures and reusing wastewater for crop irrigation and aquaculture by adopting a One Health approach could be some of the ways and means to manage the menace of CECs. This transition not only minimizes pollutant discharge but also boosts productivity.

4.2. Regulations for Coastal Aquaculture and Marine Fisheries

Regulations for coastal aquaculture and marine fisheries require strict compliance on product/aqua-inputs, environmental safety, and public health standards to combat antimicrobial resistance and environmental contamination. Every product used in aquaculture must have clear information on its chemical name and other details viz. trade names; target organism; mode of action; mode of application; shelf life and storage; recommended dosage; metabolism of the drug in animal body; retentivity and mode of excretion; residues in host species; host species safety; environmental safety, and operator safety.

Systematic surveillance programs for antimicrobial usage and residue monitoring to ensure compliance with international guidelines should be done by government departments. To lessen the potential of CEC contamination in aquatic systems in the future, policy makers, stakeholders, and the general public must become more aware on the regulatory framework.

4.3. Regulations for Freshwater Aquaculture and Inland Fisheries

There is a need to examine the feasibility of extending the Coastal Aquaculture Act 2005 rules and regulations to Freshwater Aquaculture wherever applicable. An expert committee may be constituted to investigate all aspects and suggest a suitable action plan. This matter may be addressed with the NFDB, the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI), and the respective states. FSSAI & Export Inspection Council (EIC) are two Indian government regulatory bodies that work together to ensure food safety and

are responsible for setting regulatory limits for various contaminants. These regulatory authorities, in coordination with research institutions, may coordinate cluster-wise unified approach in monitoring of Environmental Contaminants, in collaboration with FSSAI focusing on setting regulatory limits for domestic as well as imported products. The EIC is focusing on quality and safety certifications for food exports. Data shall be maintained to carry out the risk assessment by the regulatory authority to substantiate the documentary evidence for the WTO on SPS matters. FSSAI has launched initiatives to empower consumers to check for illegally added formaldehyde, and also warned that such practices are illegal and punishable. CAA in coordination with the NFDB, FSSAI, and State governments ensures safe practices, sustainable environments, and robust WTO/SPS compliance.

4.4. Examining Gaps in the Existing Policy Framework

The management of aquatic resources holding fisheries is dependent on different policies/laws, viz., Environmental Protection, National Water Policy and Act, Water Pollution Rules, Forest and wildlife conservation regulations, National Policy on Marine Fisheries (NPMF), and similar other rules in operation both at the Centre and State levels. Like a carbon credit, a water credit system can incentivise and foster the conservation of water and sustainable water use, aligning with the SDGs. The amount of fresh water used to produce goods and services in an organisation needs documentation. Efforts should be made by the Fisheries Division in coordination with the NRM Division of ICAR to examine any gaps/bottlenecks in regulations that can be further amended for the management of contaminants and enhancement of sustainable fish production. Developing a water credit framework/mechanism to incentivize fisheries to adopt water-saving technologies, reduce discharge, and document freshwater usage will streamline how environmental and pollution control rules apply to fresh water fish farms and marine ecosystems.

4.5. Creation of Central Data Bank and Awareness among Stakeholders/Farmers

There is a paucity of primary data on various issues related to CECs, with a focus on wastewater-fed aquaculture activities and fisheries in the Country. Secondary information is available, that too very limited. Therefore, it is recommended that existing information on contaminants with respect to fisheries and aquaculture be documented with existing national fisheries databases maintained by the Fishery Survey of India as a priority. Mapping and monitoring major wastewater-fed aquaculture sites and conduct routine sampling for CECs to understand bioaccumulation in fish tissue will help document baseline contaminant levels and support safe, sustainable practices.

4.6. Licensing Regulations

Today, there is a licensing pattern for the aquaculture medicines by CAA, but that is restricted to the checking of antibiotic residues alone. This must be expanded to the other criteria of merit, such as the aquaculture and aquatic animal health management products. Evaluating health management products must include comprehensive product-safety profiles to prevent bioaccumulation or degradation of the local aquatic environment. The thumb rule is that any material applied in aquaculture at any stage of the operation shall not leave any residue either in the animal body or the environment,

which is a core principle of sustainable aquaculture and Good Aquaculture Practices (GAPs), as mandated by the National Residue Control Plan (NRCP) for monitoring and control of residues in aquaculture products, covering substances like antibiotics, dyes, and environmental contaminants. Guidelines issued by CAA for regulating coastal aquaculture, including antibiotic-free inputs and monitoring effluent water quality and Guidelines for Sustainable Aquaculture (GSA) by the FAO for a comprehensive framework that addresses environmental, social, and economic factors need to be implemented. The NRCP and CAA can advise manufacturers to comply and ensure that all inputs meet these safety thresholds prior to commercial distribution. By harmonizing CAA regulations with the FAO comprehensive frameworks for sustainable aquaculture and NRCP monitoring capabilities, the Indian aquaculture sector can maintain its global competitive edge and food safety guarantees.

4.7. Resolving Market-related Issues

All aqua inputs must be recorded, maintained, and retained by the primary production facilities, such as farms. There is a need for Eco-labelling and traceability - chain of custody verification, indicating packing, storage, transport condition, specific standards by Fish farm owners/producers, enabling end-to-end transparency from farm to consumer. Data shall be maintained to facilitate the risk assessment by the regulatory authority, substantiating the documentary evidence for WTO/SPS matters. Safe seafood for both domestic and international markets can be substantiated and market-related issues in aquaculture can be resolved by framing uniform regulations and mandatory safety standards applicable at both levels, ensuring that producers do not face trade barriers.

REFERENCES

- Abisha, R., Krishnani, K.K., Sukhdhane, K., Verma, A.K., Brahmane, M. and Chadha, N.K. (2022). Sustainable development of climate-resilient aquaculture and culture-based fisheries through adaptation of abiotic stresses: A Review. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 13(7):2671-2689.
- Bright Singh, I.S. and Yadava, Y.S. (2005). Aquaculture medicine and aquatic animal health management. Aquaculture Authority. <https://www.ncaah.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Book-Aquaculture-Medicine-and-Aquatic-Animal-Health-Management.pdf>
- Das, B.K., Besra, R., Das, S., Sarkar, D.J., Mohanty, D., Roy, S., Baisakhi, B. and Kumari, M. (2026). Microplastics abundance in major tributaries and distributaries of the river Ganga at lower estuarine stretch. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 222:118715.
- Gautam, R.K., Mottaghipisheh, J., Verma, S., Singh, R.P., Muthukumaran, S., Navaratna, D. and Ahrens, L. (2025). PFAS contamination in key Indian states: A critical review of environmental impacts, regulatory challenges, and predictive exposure. *Journal of hazardous materials advances*, 18:100748.
- Jana, B.B., Mandal, R.N. and Jayasankar, P. (2018). Wastewater management through aquaculture. Springer Singapore, 335 pp. DOI:10.1007/978-981-10-7248-2.
- Jacob, J. and Krishnani, K.K. (2024). Dehalococcoides assisted reductive dechlorination of xenobiotics and recalcitrant compounds. *Current Science* 127(10):00113891.

- Koulini, G.V., Vinayagam, V., Nambi, I.M., and Krishna, R.R. (2024). Per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in Indian environment: Prevalence, impacts and solutions. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 66:105988.
- Krishnani, K.K., Abisha R, Muralidhar M (2025). Bioremediators in Shrimp Aquaculture. In: KoolothValappil R, Karunasagar I, Karunasagar I (eds) *Aquatic Animal Health Management*. Springer, Singapore (pp. 705-731). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-7987-4_23.
- Krishnani, K.K. and Ayyappan, S. (2006). Heavy metals remediation of water using plants and lignocellulosic agrowastes. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 188:59-84.
- Krishnani, K.K., Boddu, V.M., Singh, R.D., Chakraborty, P., Verma, A.K., Brooks, L., and Pathak, H. (2023). Plants, animals, and fisheries waste-mediated bioremediation of contaminants of environmental and emerging concern (CEECs)—a circular bioresource utilization approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30:84999-85045.
- Krishnani, K.K., Ravichandran, P. and Ayyappan, S. (2008). Microbially derived off-flavor from geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol: sources and remediation. *Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 194:1-27.
- Kumar, A., Poddar, V.K., Sardar, S., Mistri, A. and Srivastava, A. (2025). Microplastic pollution in rivers and lakes of India: Sources, ecotoxicological impacts, and removal strategies. *NanoImpact*, 41:100604.
- Lakra, W.S. and Krishnani, K.K. (2022). Circular bioeconomy for stress-resilient fisheries and aquaculture. In: Varjani S, Pandey A (eds) *Biomass, biofuels, biochemicals* (pp. 481-516). Elsevier.
- Lebreton, L.C., Van Der Zwet, J., Damsteeg, J.W., Slat, B., Andrady, A. and Reisser, J. (2017). River plastic emissions to the world's oceans. *Nature Communications*, 8(1): 15611.
- Qian, N., Gao, X., Lang, X., Deng, H., Bratu, T.M., Chen, Q., Stapleton P., Yan B. and Min, W. (2024). Rapid single-particle chemical imaging of nanoplastics by SRS microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 121(3), e2300582121.
- Sarkar, D.J., Sarkar, S.D., Das, B.K., Manna, R.K., Behera, B.K. and Samanta, S. (2019). Spatial distribution of meso and microplastics in the sediments of river Ganga at eastern India. *Science of the Total Environment*, 694: 133712.
- Sarkar, D.J., Sarkar, S.D., Das, B.K., Sahoo, B.K., Das, A., Nag, S.K., Behera, B.K., Stapleton P., Yan B. and Samanta, S. (2021a). Occurrence, fate and removal of microplastics as heavy metal vector in natural wastewater treatment wetland system. *Water Research*, 192:116853.
- Sarkar, D.J., Sarkar, S.D., Das, B.K., Praharaj, J.K., Mahajan, D.K., Purokait B., Mohanty, T.R., Mohanty, D., Gogoi, P., Kumar, S., Behera, B.K. (2021b). Microplastics removal efficiency of drinking water treatment plant with pulse clarifier. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 413:125347.
- Tokranov, A.K., Ransom, K.M., Bexfield, L.M., Lindsey, B.D., Watson, E., Dupuy, D.I. and Bradley, P.M. (2024). Predictions of groundwater PFAS occurrence at drinking water supply depths in the United States. *Science*, 386(6723): 748-755.

NAAS DOCUMENTS ON POLICY ISSUES

Policy Papers

81.	Climate Resilient Livestock Production	2016
82.	Breeding Policy for Cattle and Buffalo in India	2016
83.	Issues and Challenges in Shifting Cultivation and its Relevance in the Present Context	2016
84.	Practical and Affordable Approaches for Precision in Farm Equipment and Machinery	2016
85.	Hydroponic Fodder Production in India	2017
86.	Mismatch between Policies and Development Priorities in Agriculture	2017
87.	Abiotic Stress Management with Focus on Drought, Flood and Hailstorm	2017
88.	Mitigating Land Degradation due to Water Erosion	2017
89.	Vertical Farming	2019
90.	Zero Budget Natural Farming - A Myth or Reality?	2019
91.	Loan Waiving versus Income Support Schemes: Challenges and Way Forward	2019
92.	Tropical Wilt Race-4 Affecting Banana Cultivation	2019
93.	Enhancing Science Culture in Agricultural Research Institutions	2020
94.	Payment of Ecosystem Services	2020
95.	Food-borne Zoonotic Diseases	2020
96.	Livestock Improvement through Artificial Insemination	2020
97.	Potential of Non-Bovine Milk	2021
98.	Agriculture and Food Policy for the Five Trillion Dollar Economy	2021
99.	New Agricultural Education Policy for Reshaping India	2021
100.	Strategies for Enhancing Soil Organic Carbon for Food Security and Climate Action	2021
101.	Big Data Analytics in Agriculture	2021
102.	WTO and Indian Agriculture: Issues, Concerns, and Possible Solutions	2022
103.	Antimicrobial Resistance	2022
104.	One World One Health	2022
105.	Sugarcane-based Ethanol Production for Sustainable Fuel Ethanol Blending Programme	2022
106.	Utilization of Wastewaters in Urban and Peri-urban Agriculture	2022
107.	Certification of Quality Planting Material of Clonally Propagated Fruit Crops For Promoting Agricultural Diversification	2022
108.	Agri-Startups in India: Opportunities, Challenges and Way Forward	2022
109.	Emergency Preparedness for Prevention of Transboundary Infectious Diseases in Indian Livestock and Poultry	2022
110.	Strategies and Approaches for Promotion of Sustainable Bivoltine Sericulture in India	2022
111.	Food Fortification - Issues and Way Forward	2022
112.	Gender and Nutrition based Extension in Agriculture	2022
113.	Contract Farming for Transforming Indian Agriculture	2022
114.	Promoting Millet Production, Value Addition and Consumption	2022
115.	Waste to Wealth – Use of Food Waste as Animal Feed and Beyond	2022
116.	Sustaining the Pulses Revolution in India: Technological and Policy Measures	2022
117.	Road Map for Rehabilitation of 26 Mha Degraded Lands in India	2022
118.	Entrepreneurship for Quality Fodder Production	2022
119.	Secondary Agriculture - Challenges, Opportunities and Way Forward	2022
120.	Scaling up Innovative Agricultural Extension Models	2022
121.	Self-sufficiency in Edible Oil Production	2022
122.	Beyond Price Support and Subsidy	2023
123.	Impact of COVID-19 on Livestock (Animal Health and Dairy/Poultry/Meat/Feed Industry)	2023
124.	Enhancing Agri-Infrastructure and Agri-Business Development through Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in India	2024
125.	Food Safety Strategies for Indian Fisheries Sector	2024
126.	Milk vs Plant Based Dairy Analogues: Myths and Facts	2024
127.	Seaweed Farming and Utilisation	2024
128.	Ethics in Research Publication	2024
129.	Greening of Indian Livestock and Poultry Sector: Policy Options for Developing Sustainable Approaches	2024
130.	Multiple Uses of Solar Energy in Agriculture and Agro-Processing	2024
131.	Smart Animal Farming: Perspective Planning	2025
132.	Strategies and Policies for Enhancing the Global Footprint of Indian Spices	2025
133.	Agri-voltaics for Sustainable Crop and Energy Production	2025
134.	Cellular Fish Meat Production: Prospects and Challenges	2025
135.	Accelerating Farm Mechanization to Achieve the Goal of Viksit Bharat	2025
136.	Crop Grouping and Harmonization of Maximum Residue Limits: Solution to Off-Label use of Pesticides	2025
137.	Restoration and Improvement of Soil Health	2025
138.	Climate Adaptive Conservation of Aquatic Genetic Resources	2025
139.	dsRNA-Biopesticides for Crop Protection	2025
140.	Underutilized Fruits and Vegetables for Nutritional and Health Security	2025
141.	Preparing Youth for Agri-preneurship Development	2025
142.	Enhancing India's Agricultural Exports: Prospects and Challenges	2026
143.	Horticultural Innovations to Enhance Exports from India	2026
144.	Enhancing Efficiency and Sustainability of Farmer Producer Organizations in India: Challenges and Strategies	2026
145.	Harnessing the Functional Plant Microbiomes for Next Generation Plant Health Management Strategies	2026
146.	Water Security: Is Quantum or Management the Issue?	2026
147.	Innovative Approaches for Sustainable Crop Residue Management	2026

Status / Strategy Papers

1.	Role of Social Scientists in National Agricultural Research System (NARS)	2015
2.	Towards Self-sufficiency of Pulses in India	2016
3.	Strategy for Transformation of Indian Agriculture for Improving Farmers Welfare	2016
4.	Sustaining Soybean Productivity and Production in India	2017
5.	Strengthening Agricultural Extension Research and Education - The Way Forward	2017
6.	Strategy on Utilization of Glauconite Mineral as Source of Potassium	2017
7.	Vegetable Oil Economy and Production Problems in India	2017
8.	Conservation Policies for Hilsa and Mahseer	2018
9.	Accelerating Seed Delivery Systems for Priming Indian Farm Productivity Enhancement: A Strategic View Point	2018
10.	Renewable Energy: A New Paradigm for Growth in Agriculture	2018
11.	Rumen Microbiome and Amelioration of Methane Production	2019
12.	Harnessing Full Potential of A1 and A2 Milk in India: An Update	2019
13.	Development and Adoption of Novel Fertilizer Materials	2019
14.	Innovations in potato seed production	2021
15.	Potential of Transgenic Poultry for Bioharming	2022
16.	Need for Breeding Tomatoes Suitable for Processing	2022
17.	Biofortification to Address Malnutrition in India: Present Status and Way Forward	2022
18.	Drudgery Reduction in Agriculture through Improved Farm Machinery	2022
19.	Maize for Bioethanol Production in India: Prospects and Strategy	2024
20.	Strategy for Upscaling Carbon Farming in India	2025
21.	Honeybees : Harbinger of Sweet Revolution	2025
22.	Microplastics Pollution: Remediation Strategies for Sustainable Environmental Management	2026

Policy Briefs

1.	To Accelerate Utilization of GE Technology for Food & Nutrition Security and Improving Farmers' Income	2016
2.	Innovative Viable Solution to Rice Residue Burning in Rice-Wheat Cropping System through Concurrent Use of Super Straw Management System-fitted Combines and Turbo Happy Seeder	2017
3.	Soil Health: New Policy Initiatives for Farmers Welfare	2018
4.	Uniform Policy for Fish Disease Diagnosis and Quarantine	2019
5.	Saving the Harvest: Reducing the Food Loss and Waste	2019
6.	Better Management of Pesticides in India: Policy Perspectives	2019
7.	Regulatory Framework for Genome Edited Plants: Accelerating the Pace and Precision of Plant Breeding	2020
8.	Covid-19 Pandemic: Impact and New Normal in Agriculture	2020
9.	Direct Benefit Transfer of Fertilizer Subsidy: Policy Perspectives	2020
10.	Harmonization of seed regulations for sustainable food security in India	2020
11.	Towards Revision of Biological Diversity Act 2002	2021
12.	Limitations of Global Hunger Index and Way Forward	2022
13.	Regulation for Genetically Modified (GM) Foods and Detection of Unauthorized GM Food Events	2022

NAAS DOCUMENTS ON POLICY ISSUES*

Policy Papers

1. Agricultural Scientist's Perceptions on National Water Policy	1995
2. Fertilizer Policy Issues (2000-2025)	1997
3. Harnessing and Management of Water Resources for Enhancing Agricultural Production in the Eastern Region	1998
4. Conservation, Management and use of Agro-biodiversity	1998
5. Sustainable Agricultural Export	1999
6. Reorienting Land Grant System of Agricultural Education in India	1999
7. Diversification of Agriculture for Human Nutrition	2001
8. Sustainable Fisheries and Aquaculture for Nutritional Security	2001
9. Strategies for Agricultural Research in the North-East	2001
10. Globalization of Agriculture: R & D in India	2001
11. Empowerment of Women in Agriculture	2001
12. Sanitary and Phytosanitary Agreement of the World Trade Organization Advantage India	2001
13. Hi-Tech Horticulture in India	2001
14. Conservation and Management of Genetic Resources of Livestock	2001
15. Prioritization of Agricultural Research	2001
16. Agriculture-Industry Interface: Value Added Farm Products	2002
17. Scientists' Views on Good Governance of An Agricultural Research Organization	2002
18. Agricultural Policy: Redesigning R & D to Achieve It's Objectives	2002
19. Intellectual Property Rights in Agriculture	2003
20. Dichotomy Between Grain Surplus and Widespread Endemic Hunger	2003
21. Priorities of Research and Human Resource Development in Fisheries Biotechnology	2003
22. Seaweed Cultivation and Utilization	2003
23. Export Potential of Dairy Products	2003
24. Biosafety of Transgenic Rice	2003
25. Stakeholders' Perceptions On Employment Oriented Agricultural Education	2004
26. Peri-Urban Vegetable Cultivation in the NCR Delhi	2004
27. Disaster Management in Agriculture	2004
28. Impact of Inter River Basin Linkages on Fisheries	2004
29. Transgenic Crops and Biosafety Issues Related to Their Commercialization in India	2004
30. Organic Farming: Approaches and Possibilities in the Context of Indian Agriculture	2005
31. Redefining Agricultural Education and Extension System in Changed Scenario	2005
32. Emerging Issues in Water Management The Question of Ownership	2005
33. Policy Options for Efficient Nitrogen Use	2005
34. Guidelines for Improving the Quality of Indian Journals & Professional Societies in Agriculture and Allied Sciences	2006
35. Low and Declining Crop Response to Fertilizers	2006
36. Belowground Biodiversity in Relation to Cropping Systems	2006
37. Employment Opportunities in Farm and Non-Farm Sectors Through Technological Interventions with Emphasis on Primary Value Addition	2006
38. WTO and Indian Agriculture: Implications for Policy and R&D	2006
39. Innovations in Rural Institutions: Driver for Agricultural Prosperity	2007
40. High Value Agriculture in India: Prospects and Policies	2008
41. Sustainable Energy for Rural India	2008
42. Crop Response and Nutrient Ratio	2009
43. Antibiotics in Manure and Soil A Grave Threat to Human and Animal Health	2010
44. Plant Quarantine including Internal Quarantine Strategies in View of Onslaught of Diseases and Insect Pests	2010
45. Agrochemicals Management: Issues and Strategies	2010
46. Veterinary Vaccines and Diagnostics	2010
47. Protected Agriculture in North-West Himalayas	2010
48. Exploring Untapped Potential of Acid Soils of India	2010
49. Agricultural Waste Management	2010
50. Drought Preparedness and Mitigation	2011
51. Carrying Capacity of Indian Agriculture	2011
52. Biosafety Assurance for GM food Crops in India	2011
53. Ecolabelling and Certification in Capture Fisheries and Aquaculture	2012
54. Integration of Millets in Fortified Foods	2012
55. Fighting Child Malnutrition	2012
56. Sustaining Agricultural Productivity through Integrated Soil Management	2012
57. Value Added Fertilizers and Site Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM)	2012
58. Management of Crop Residues in the Context of Conservation Agriculture	2012
59. Livestock Infertility and its Management	2013
60. Water Use Potential of Flood-affected and Drought-prone Areas of Eastern India	2013
61. Mastitis Management in Dairy Animals	2013
62. Biopesticides – Quality Assurance	2013
63. Nanotechnology in Agriculture: Scope and Current Relevance	2013
64. Improving Productivity of Rice Fallows	2013
65. Climate Resilient Agriculture in India	2013
66. Role of Millets in Nutritional Security of India	2013
67. Urban and Peri-Urban Agriculture	2013
68. Efficient Utilization of Phosphorus	2014
69. Carbon Economy in Indian Agriculture	2014
70. MOOC for Capacity Building in Indian Agriculture: Opportunities and Challenges	2014
71. Role of Root Endophytes in Agricultural Productivity	2014
72. Bioinformatics in Agriculture: Way Forward	2014
73. Monitoring and Evaluation of Agricultural Research, Education and Extension for Development [AREE4D]	2014
74. Biodrainage: An Eco-friendly Tool for Combating Waterlogging	2015
75. Linking Farmers with Markets for Inclusive Growth in Indian Agriculture	2015
76. Bio-fuels to Power Indian Agriculture	2015
77. Aquaculture Certification in India: Criteria and Implementation Plan	2015
78. Reservoir Fisheries Development in India: Management and Policy Options	2016
79. Integration of Medicinal and Aromatic Crop Cultivation and Value Chain Management for Small Farmers	2016
80. Augmenting Forage Resources in Rural India: Policy Issues and Strategies	2016